IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

In Re: AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. 12-md-02311 Hon. Marianne O. Battani
IN RE: AUTOMOTIVE WIRE HARNESS SYSTEMS	2:12-cv-00103-MOB-MKM
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: END PAYOR ACTIONS	

AMENDED FINAL JUDGMENT APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN END-PAYOR PLAINTIFFS AND LEONI WIRING SYSTEMS, INC. AND LEONISCHE HOLDING INC. ("LEONI") AND ENTERING DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO LEONI, LEONI AG, LEONI KABEL GMBH, AND LEONI WIRE INC.

This Amended Final Judgment supersedes the Final Judgment Approving Settlement Agreement Between End-Payor Plaintiffs and LEONI Wiring Systems, Inc. and Leonische Holding Inc. ("LEONI") and Entering Dismissal With Prejudice as to LEONI, LEONI AG, LEONI Kabel GmbH, and LEONI Wire Inc. entered on August 25, 2017 (Doc. No. 582) and the Final Judgment Approving Settlement Agreement Between End-Payor Plaintiffs and LEONI and Entering Dismissal With Prejudice As to LEONI entered on August 30, 2017 (Doc. No. 586).

This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this Court should not approve the settlement between End-Payor Plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant LEONI Wiring Systems, Inc. and Defendant Leonische Holding Inc. (together, "LEONI") set forth in the Settlement Agreement ("Agreement"), dated June 28, 2016 relating to the above-captioned action (the "Action"). The Court, after carefully considering all papers filed

and proceedings held herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises, has determined (1) that the settlement should be approved, and (2) that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of this Amended Final Judgment approving the Agreement. Accordingly, the Court directs entry of Judgment which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits as to the parties to the Agreement. Good cause appearing therefor, it is:

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The definitions of terms set forth in the Agreement are incorporated herein as though fully set forth in this Judgment.

2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 23(g), Class Counsel, previously appointed by the Court (Cotchett, Pitre, & McCarthy LLP, Robins Kaplan LLP, and Susman Godfrey L.L.P.), are appointed as Counsel for the Automotive Wire Harness Systems Settlement Class ("Settlement Class"). These firms have, and will, fairly and competently represent the interests of the Settlement Class.

3. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, over all actions within this litigation, and over the parties to the Agreement, including all members of the Settlement Class.

4. Plaintiffs, having filed a complaint in the Action alleging that LEONI conspired to rig bids, allocate markets and fix prices for Automotive Wire Harness Systems, and LEONI, having denied Plaintiffs' allegations and represented it would assert defenses thereto, have entered into the Agreement to settle the Action with respect to Automotive Wire Harness Systems to avoid further expense, inconvenience, and the distraction of burdensome and protracted litigation, to obtain the releases, orders, and judgment contemplated by the Agreement, and to put to rest with finality all claims that have been or could have been asserted

against LEONI Releasees with respect to Automotive Wire Harness Systems. Pursuant to the Agreement, LEONI has agreed to provide specified monetary compensation to Plaintiffs, and to cooperate with Plaintiffs in connection with the continued prosecution of the Action.

5. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlement set forth in the Agreement and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class pursuant to Rule 23.

6. The Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the individual and class claims asserted against LEONI, LEONI AG, LEONI Kabel GmbH, and LEONI Wire Inc., with Plaintiffs and LEONI to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as provided herein.

7. All persons and entities who are Releasors are hereby barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or continuing, either directly or indirectly in an individual or representative or derivative capacity, against the LEONI Releasees, in this or any other jurisdiction, any and all claims, causes of action or lawsuits, which they had, have, or in the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.

8. The LEONI Releasees are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to any and all claims or causes of action which the Releasors had, have, or in the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.

9. Neither the Agreement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to the Agreement, may be deemed or used as an admission of wrongdoing in any civil, criminal, administrative, or other proceeding in any jurisdiction.

10. The notice given to the Settlement Class of the settlement set forth in the Agreement and the other matters set forth herein was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members of the Settlement Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts. Said notice provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Agreement, to all persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rules 23(c)(2) and 23(e) and the requirements of due process.

11. Without affecting the finality of this Amended Final Judgment in any way, the Court hereby retains exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) the enforcement of this Amended Final Judgment; (b) the enforcement of the Agreement; (c) any application for distribution of funds, attorneys' fees, or reimbursement of costs and expenses made by Plaintiffs' Counsel; (d) any application for incentive awards for the End-Payor Plaintiffs; and (e) the distribution of the settlement proceeds to Settlement Class members.

12. The persons and entities identified on Exhibit "A" hereto have timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class and, therefore, are excluded. Such persons and entities are not included in or bound by this Judgment. Such persons and entities are not entitled to any recovery from the settlement proceeds obtained through this settlement. Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed as a determination by this Court that such persons and entities are members of any of the classes or proposed classes in the *In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*, Master File No. 12-md-02311.

13. In the event that the settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, then this Amended Final Judgment shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection

herewith shall be null and void and the parties shall be returned to their respective positions ex ante.

14. The Escrow Account, into which LEONI has deposited assets with a total value of $1,482,000.00 as the Settlement Amount (as defined in paragraph 23 of the Agreement), plus accrued interest thereon and net any expenses incurred as contemplated in paragraphs 24 and 26of the Agreement, is approved as a Qualified Settlement Fund pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

15. The Court finds, pursuant to Rule 54(a) and (b), that this Amended Final Judgment should be entered and further finds that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Judgment, as an Amended Final Judgment, as to the parties to the Agreement, LEONI AG, LEONI Kabel GmbH, and LEONI Wire Inc.

16. The Court's certification of the Settlement Class as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant, including LEONI, to contest certification of any other class proposed in the *In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*, Master File No. 12-md-02311. The Court's findings in this Amended Final Judgment shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class in the *In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*, Master File No. 12-md-02311. No party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Class as persuasive or binding authority with respect to any motion to certify any class.

17. Accordingly, the Clerk is hereby directed to enter Judgment forthwith.

18.

Date: September 25, 2017

s/Marianne O. Battani
MARIANNE O. BATTANI
United States District Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing Order was served upon counsel of record via the Court's ECF System to their respective email addresses or First Class U.S. mail to the non-ECF participants on September 25, 2017.

s/ Kay Doaks
Case Manager

Exhibit A

- Willis Johnson
  1905 Flat Shoals Rd. SE
  Atlanta, GA 30316

- GEICO Corporation, Government Employees Insurance Company, GEICO General Insurance Company, GEICO Indemnity Company, GEICO Casualty Company, GEICO Advantage Insurance Company, GEICO Choice Insurance Company, GEICO Secure Insurance Company, GEICO County Mutual Insurance Company, and GEICO Insurance Agency, Inc.
  C/O Dan Goldfine
  Lewis Roca Rothberger Christie LLP
  201 East Washington Street, Suite 1200
  Phoenix, AZ 85004